arXiv:1401.5501v2 [math.GT] 5 Nov 2015

PLANAR ALGEBRAS AND THE DECATEGORIFICATION OF BORDERED KHOVANOV HOMOLOGY

LAWRENCE P. ROBERTS

Abstract. We give a simple, combinatorial construction of a unital, spherical, non-degenerate $*$-planar algebra over the ring $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$. This planar algebra is similar in spirit to the Temperley-Lieb planar algebra, but computations show that they are different. The construction comes from the combinatorics of the decategorifications of the type A and type D structures in the author's previous work on bordered Khovanov homology [10]. In particular, the construction illustrates how gluing of tangles occurs in the bordered Khovanov homology ([9]) and its difference from that in Khovanov's tangle homology, [5], without being encumbered by any extra homological algebra. It also provides a simple framework for showing that these theories are not related through a simple process, thereby confirming recent work of A. Manion, [7]. Furthermore, using Khovanov's conventions and a state sum approach to the Jones polynomial, we obtain new invariant for tangles in $\Sigma \times [-1, 1]$ where Σ is a compact, planar surface with boundary, and the tangle intersects each boundary cylinder in an even number of points. This construction naturally generalizes Khovanov's approach to the Jones polynomial.

1. Introduction

Let S be an oriented two-sphere.

Definition 1 (Disc configurations)**.** *A* disc configuration in S is a non-empty ordered set $\mathbb{D} = (\overleftarrow{D}_0; \overleftarrow{D}_1, \dots, \overleftarrow{D}_m)$, $m \geq 0$, of closed discs embedded in S, and a choice of points $*_{\overleftarrow{D}_i} \in \partial\overleftarrow{D}_i$ for each $i = 0, \dots, m$, such that

(1) $\overleftarrow{D}_i \subset \operatorname{int}\overleftarrow{D}_0$ for each $i \geq 1$, and
(2) $\overleftarrow{D}_i \cap \overleftarrow{D}_j = \emptyset$ for $i, j \geq 1$

An example of a disc configuration is given in Figure 1.

Each disc $\overleftarrow{D}_i$ in $\mathbb{D}$ inherits an orientation from S, and $\partial\overleftarrow{D}_i$ is oriented as the boundary $\overleftarrow{D}_i$. The discs $\overleftarrow{D}_i$ will be called *inside discs*. The *outside discs* for $\mathbb{D}$ are the discs $\overrightarrow{D}_i = S\backslash\big(\operatorname{int}\overleftarrow{D}_i\big)$.

Given a disc configuration $\mathbb{D}$ we identify an oriented sub-surface of S

$$\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}} = \overleftarrow{D}_0 \backslash \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{int} \overleftarrow{D}_i \right)$$



FIGURE 1. A planar diagram with signature $(3; 2, 2, 1)$ subordinate to the disc configuration $\mathbb{D}$. $\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}}$ is the shaded planar surface. The points in ∂D_0 have been labeled according to their ordering.

Definition 2 (Planar diagram)**.** *A planar diagram P subordinate to a disc configuration $\mathbb{D}$ is a set of arcs and circles properly and disjointly embedded in $\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}}$ such that each boundary circle of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}}$ intersects the arcs of P in an* even *number of points.*

Given P let $\partial\overleftarrow{D}_i \cap P$ be ordered according to the orientation of $\partial\overleftarrow{D}_i \backslash \{*_{\overleftarrow{D}_i}\}$. the signature of P, denoted $\text{SIGN}(P)$, is the tuple $(n_0; n_1, \dots n_m)$ where $2n_i = |\partial\overleftarrow{D}_i \cap P|$. The diagram in Figure 1 is a planar diagram.

To an oriented circle with a marked point $*$ and $2n$ labeled points, we will associate a free $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$-module $\mathcal{I}_{2n}$. Our goal is to define for any planar diagram P subordinate to $\mathbb{D}$, with $\text{SIGN}(P) = (n_0; n_1, \dots, n_m)$, a linear map

$$Z_P : \mathcal{I}_{2n_1} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_m} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{2n_0}$$

where the tensor products are taken over $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$.

The module $\mathcal{I}_{2n}$ comes from the idempotents of the differential bigraded algebra $\mathcal{B}\Gamma_{2n}$ used in bordered Khovanov homology [9], [8], and which supports the decategorification of differential bigraded modules over $\mathcal{B}\Gamma_{2n}$, [10]. The maps Z_P are a combinatorial generalization to planar surfaces of the combinatorics of decategorification in [10]. Our motivation, besides the simplicity of the construction, is 1) to use these maps to compare the constructrions of bordered Khovanov homology to Khovanov's tangle homology, and 2) to give a simple generalization of the Jones polynomial to tangles with good compositional properties, that is different from those the author could find in the literature.

1.1. **The modules $\mathcal{I}_{2n}$.** To an oriented circle C, embedded in an oriented sphere S, with a marked point $* \in C$, and a subset $Q \subset C\backslash\{*\}$ of $2n \geq 0$ points, we assign the free $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$-module generated by the elements of the following set:

Definition 3 (Cleaved links)**.** *$\mathcal{CL}_n$ is the set of equivalence classes of pairs (L, σ) where*

1. *L is a link embedded in S so that each component of L transversely intersects C,*
2. *$L \cap C = Q$*
3. *$\sigma : \textsc{Circles}(L) \longrightarrow \{+, -\}$*

where two such pairs are equivalent if there is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism of S which maps the equator to the equator, carries L_1 to L_2, and preserves the marked points and decorations on each component.

The elements of $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{CL}_n$ will be called *cleaved links* and the maps σ will be called decorations. We note that $\mathcal{CL}_0$ is the empty link in a sphere with an marked equator.

Definition 4. *For each $n \geq 0$, $\mathcal{I}_{2n}$ is the free $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$-module generated by the elements of $\mathcal{CL}_n$. The generator corresponding to $(L, \sigma) \in \mathcal{CL}_n$ will be denoted $I_{(L,\sigma)}$.*

Examples: When $n = 0$, there is only one equivalence class I_0 of cleaved links, so $\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]I_0$. The generators of $\mathcal{I}_2$ are cleaved links with one component K which intersects the equator C exactly twice. K can be decorated with either a $+$ or a $-$, corresponding to the two generators I_+ and I_- for $\mathcal{I}_2$. Thus, $\mathcal{I}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]I_+ \oplus \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]I_-$. For $\mathcal{I}_4$, there are twelve generators corresponding to the elements in $\mathcal{CL}_2$, depicted and labeled in Figure 2.

1.2. **The maps Z_P.** To define the map Z_P we describe it on the generators of $\mathcal{I}_{2n_1} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_m}$ and then extend linearly. We start with some preliminaries.

Definition 5 (Multiply Cleaved Links)**.** *A decorated, multiply cleaved link (M, σ) subordinate to a disc configuration $\mathbb{D}$ in S is a (possibly empty) embedded submanifold $M \subset S$, consisting of finitely many circles,* $\textsc{Circles}(M)$*, and a* decoration *map $\sigma : \textsc{Circles}(M) \longrightarrow \{+, -\}$, such that*

1. *M intersects the boundary $\partial\overleftarrow{D}_i$ of each disc $\overleftarrow{D}_i$ transversely, and away from $*_{\overleftarrow{D}_i}$, and*
2. *M has no component contained in $\operatorname{int}\overrightarrow{D}_0$, or in $\operatorname{int}\overleftarrow{D}_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$.*

Each multiply cleaved link is built on top of a planar diagram:

Definition 6. *For a decorated, multiply cleaved link (M, σ) subordinate to $\mathbb{D}$, $\mathfrak{P}(M)$ is the associated planar diagram $M \cap \Sigma_{\mathbb{D}}$.*

Then $\mathfrak{P}(M)$ is subordinate to $\mathbb{D}$ and inherits the orderings and marked points of M. For example, given the multiply cleaved link (M, σ) in Figure 3, $\mathfrak{P}(M, \sigma)$ is the planar diagram in Figure 1. We use $\mathfrak{P}(M)$ to import all the notions defined for planar diagrams to multiply cleaved links.



FIGURE 2. The twelve generators of $\mathcal{I}_4$ grouped based on their inside and outside matchings. There a two generators of type A and D, and four of type B and C, as determined by the choice of decorations.

Definition 7. *Let (M,σ) be a decorated, multiply cleaved link. The set of equivalence classes of decorated, multiply cleaved links (M,σ) with signature $(n_0;n_1,\ldots,n_m)$ will be denoted $\mathcal{MCL}(n_0;n_1,\ldots,n_m)$.*

The advantage to using multiply cleaved links is that the have "boundaries":

Definition 8. *For each $i=0,\ldots,m$, the i^{th}-boundary map*

$$\partial_i : \mathcal{MCL}(n_0;n_1,\ldots,n_m) \longrightarrow \mathcal{CL}_{n_i}$$

takes (M,σ) to the cleaved link $\partial_i(M,\sigma)$ defined by

1. *$\partial_i(M,\sigma)$ is subordinate to $(\overleftarrow{D}_i)$,*
2. *$*_{\partial_i(M,\sigma)} = *_{\overleftarrow{D}_i}$*
3. *$\textsc{Circles}(\partial_i(M,\sigma))$ is the subset of the components of M which intersect $\partial\overleftarrow{D}_i$,*
4. *$\sigma_{\partial_i(M,\sigma)}$ is the restriction of σ to $\textsc{Circles}(\partial_i(M,\sigma))$*

For example, the 2^{nd} boundary of the multiply cleaved link on the left of Figure 3 is the diagram on the right of that figure.



FIGURE 3. The left diagram is a decorated, multiply cleaved link (M, σ) with $\mathfrak{P}(M, \sigma)$ equal to the planar diagram in Figure 1. The inside and outside matchings are shown as dashed lines. The 2^{nd} boundary $\partial_2(M, \sigma)$ is shown on the right.

Definition 9. *Let P be a planar diagram subordinate to $\mathbb{D}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}(P) = \left\{ (M, \sigma) \in \mathcal{MCL}(\textsc{Sign}(P)) \,\middle|\, \mathfrak{P}(M) = P \right\}$$

If we are given $(L_i, \sigma_i) \in \mathcal{CL}_{n_i}$ for $i = 0, \ldots, m$, then

$$\mathcal{M}_P\big((L_0, \sigma_0); (L_1, \sigma_1), \ldots, (L_m, \sigma_m)\big) = \left\{ (M, \sigma) \in \mathcal{M}(P) \,\middle|\, \partial_i(M, \sigma) = (L_i, \sigma_i) \right\}$$

We will usually shorten this to $\mathcal{M}_P(L_0; L_1, \ldots, L_m)$ with the understanding that there are decoration maps σ_i on each of the cleaved links. The signature and the associated planar diagram do not depend on the decorations. The decorations, however, return in the *weight* of the link:

Definition 10. *The weight $W(M, \sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$ of a decorated, multiply cleaved link (M, σ) is the quantity*

$$W(M, \sigma) = \left(\prod_{\substack{C \in \textsc{Circles}(M) \\ \sigma(C) = +}} q^{1 - \frac{1}{2} N_M(C)} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{\substack{C \in \textsc{Circles}(M) \\ \sigma(C) = -}} q^{-1 + \frac{1}{2} N_M(C)} \right)$$

where $N_M(C)$ is the number of circles $\partial \overleftarrow{D}_i$, $i \geq 0$, which non-trivially intersect the circle $C \in \textsc{Circles}(M)$. If $\textsc{Circles}(M) = \emptyset$ then $W(M, \sigma) = 1$.

We are now in a position to define Z_P. For a generator

$$\xi = I_{(L_1,\sigma_1)} \otimes I_{(L_2,\sigma_2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{(L_m,\sigma_m)} \in \mathcal{I}_{2n_1} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_m}$$

with $(L_i, \sigma_i) \in \mathcal{CL}_{n_i}$ let

$$Z_P(\xi) = \sum_{\substack{(M,\sigma) \in \mathcal{M}(P) \\ \partial_i(M,\sigma) = (L_i,\sigma_i), i \geq 1}} W(M,\sigma) I_{\partial_0(M,\sigma)}$$

Recall that $\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$, so this definition applies even if P does not intersect some of the boundaries $\partial \overleftarrow{D}_i$ for $i \geq 0$.

Warning: Changing the marked point on $\partial \overleftarrow{D}_i$ can change the identification with the generators of $\mathcal{I}_{2n_i}$, and thus change the map Z_P. An example below illustrates this phenomenon.

1.3. **Planar algebra structure.** Planar diagrams can be composed, and we will describe how the partition maps behave under this composition. Suppose T and R are planar diagrams subordinate to disc configurations $\mathbb{D}_T$ and $\mathbb{D}_R$ with signatures $\textsc{Sign}(T) = (n_0; n_1, \ldots, n_m)$ and $\textsc{Sign}(R) = (n'_0; n'_1, \ldots, n'_m)$ such that $n_0 = n'_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, m'\}$. Then there is a planar diagram $R \circ_i T$ subordinate to

$$\mathbb{D}_R \circ_i \mathbb{D}_T = (\overleftarrow{D}'_0; \overleftarrow{D}'_1, \ldots, \overleftarrow{D}'_{i-1}, \overleftarrow{D}_1, \ldots, \overleftarrow{D}_m, \overleftarrow{D}_{i+1}, \ldots, \overleftarrow{D}'_{m'})$$

obtained by gluing the discs $S_T \backslash \operatorname{int} \overrightarrow{D}_0$ and $S_R \backslash \operatorname{int} \overleftarrow{D}'_i$ along their boundaries, so that $*_{\overleftarrow{D}_0}$ is glued to $*_{\overleftarrow{D}'_i}$, and gluing induces and ordered bijection $\partial \overleftarrow{D}_0 \cap T \longrightarrow \partial \overleftarrow{D}_{i'} \cap R$.
The definition of planar algebras in [2], [3] provides a composition $Z_R \circ_i Z_T$ of Z_R and Z_T along the i^{th} entry in the tensor product of the domain of Z_R. In particular, if $a_i \in \mathcal{I}_{2n'_i}$ and $b_j \in \mathcal{I}_{2n_j}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} &Z_{R\circ_i T}(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1} \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{m'} \otimes a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m) \\ &\qquad := Z_R(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1} \otimes Z_T(b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{m'}) \otimes a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

In section 2 we prove

Theorem 11 (Composition)**.** *Let T and R be planar diagrams so that $R \circ_i T$ is defined. Then the partition map $Z_{R\circ_i T}$ equals the map $Z_R \circ_i Z_T$*

In addition,

Theorem 12. *The maps Z_P have the following properties:*

(1) (*Temperley-Lieb property*) *If P has a circle component embedded in the interior of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}}$ then*

$$Z_P = (q + q^{-1}) Z_{P'}$$

where P' is the planar diagram found by removing this component,

(2) (*Conjugation property*) *For* $p \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$ *let the conjugate* p^* *be the polynomial* $p(q^{-1})$. *There is an involution on* $\mathcal{CL}_n$ *which allows us to extend to a morphism* $\xi \longrightarrow \xi^*$ *on* $\mathcal{I}_{2n}$. *For this map*

$$Z_P(\xi^*) = Z_P(\xi)^*$$

In the language of [3] these properties show that the planar algebra is a unital, spherical, $*$-planar algebra. It is unital since each planar diagram for a disc configuration ($\overleftarrow{D}_0$) gives rise to a map Z_P which satisfies the composition requirement, [3]. It is spherical since $\dim \mathcal{I}_0 = 1$ (over $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$) and the maps are invariant under isotopies. Finally, the conjugation $\xi \longrightarrow \xi^*$ acts correctly for it to be a $*$-planar algebra.

Furthermore, it is non-degenerate in the sense that a standard pairing considered in [**?**] is non-degenerate on each $\mathcal{I}_{2n}$, see section 2.3. However, the pairing is not positive definite, as usually desired in the planar algebra approach to studying subfactors, [**?**].

Following the terminology of planar algebras, as in [2], we will now call Z_P the partition map for P.

In the next section 2 we justify the properties cited above. In section 3 we describe how this can be extended throught the usual Kauffman state summations to give invariants of tangles which generalize the Jones polynomial and compose well. In section 4 we provide some example computations. Finally, in section 5, we compare this construction to the Temperley-Lieb planar algebra and the decategorification of Khovanov's tangle homology.

2. Properties of the partition maps for planar diagrams

We now verify the Temperley-Lieb, Conjugation, and Composition properties for the partition maps of planar diagrams.

2.1. **Properties allowing simplifications of the diagram:**

Proposition 13 (Temperley-Lieb Property)**.** *Let* P *be a planar diagram and* C *be a circle component of* P. *Let* P' *be the planar diagram after deleting* C *from* P, *then* $Z_P = (q + q^{-1})Z_{P'}$

Proof. There is a $2:1$ map $\mathcal{M}(P) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(P')$ which takes (M, σ) to (M', σ'), where (M', σ') has the same configuration of discs, marked points, and arcs as M, but the circle C has been removed. Under this map σ' is the restriction of σ to the remaining circles. The pre-image of (M', σ') consists of a two element set $\{M'_{\pm}\}$ where we have added C back with decoration $\sigma(C) = \pm$. If we partition $\mathcal{M}(P)$ into $\mathcal{M}_+(P) \sqcup \mathcal{M}_-(P)$, based on the value of $\sigma(C)$, then $Z_P(\xi)$ can similarly be decomposed. From the definition of Z_P the term corresponding to $\mathcal{M}_+(P)$ is seen to be $q\, Z_{P'}(\xi)$ while the other is $q^{-1}\, Z_{P'}(\xi)$. □

Corollary 14. *Let* P *be a planar diagram subordinate to* $\mathbb{D} = (\overleftarrow{D}_0; \overleftarrow{D}_1)$ *with signaturw* $(0; 0)$. *Then* P *consists solely of some number* N *of circles, and* Z_P *is the map* $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$ *which multiplies by* $(q + q^{-1})^N$.

Note that $(q+q^{-1})^N$ is also the Jones polynomial of such a tangle, using Khovanov's conventions from [4].

In addition, any disc $\overleftarrow{D}_i$ with $n_i = 0$ can be "removed:"

Proposition 15. *Suppose P is a planar diagram subordinate to $\mathbb{D} = (\overleftarrow{D}_0; \overleftarrow{D}_1, \ldots, \overleftarrow{D}_m)$ with $m \geq 1$. Suppose $\overleftarrow{D}_i$ has $n_i = 0$ for some $i \geq 1$. Let P' be planar diagram determined by P and subordinate to $\mathbb{D}' = \mathbb{D}\backslash\{D_i\}$, with the inherited order. Then $Z_{P'}$ equals Z_P under the canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{I}_{2n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_m}$ with $\mathcal{I}_{2n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_{i-1}} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_{i+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_m}$*

Proof. Since $n_i = 0$, $\mathcal{I}_{2n_i} = \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$ in the tensor product $\mathcal{I}_{2n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_m}$, thereby establishing the canonical isomorphism in the statement. The image of each generator is determined only by the configuration of circles in multiply cleaved links in $\mathcal{M}(P)$. As these are the same in the two diagrams, the partition maps Z_P and $Z_{P'}$ are identical, under the isomorphism. □

Corollary 16. *If P is the empty tangle, (i.e. it has no components) then both $\mathcal{I}_{2n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_m}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{2n_0}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$, and Z_P is the identity map $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$ under the isomorphisms.*

2.2. **Partition maps and conjugation:** Multiply cleaved links admit an involution we will call *conjugation*:

Definition 17. *Let (M, σ) be a decorated, multiply cleaved link. The conjugate $(M, \sigma)^*$ is the decorated, multiply cleaved link (M, σ') where $\sigma'(C) = \pm$ if and only if $\sigma(C) = \mp$.*

We now consider the effect of the conjugation $(M, \sigma) \longrightarrow (M, \sigma)^*$ on the partition map.

Definition 18. *For $p \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$, $p^*(q) = p(q^{-1})$ is the* conjugate *of p.*

The following proposition follows directly from the definitions.

Proposition 19. *Let (M, σ) be a decorated multiply cleaved link. Then $\textsc{Sign}(M, \sigma)^* = \textsc{Sign}(M, \sigma)$, and $W\big((M, \sigma)^*\big) = W(M, \sigma)^*$ in $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$.*

We extend these conjugate maps to the tensor products $\mathcal{I}_{2n_1} \otimes \cdots \mathcal{I}_{2n_m}$ by linearly extending

$$p(q)\, I_{(L_1,\sigma_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{(L_m,\sigma_m)} \longrightarrow p^*(q)\, I_{(L_1,\sigma_1)^*} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{(L_m,\sigma_m)^*}$$

Proposition 20 (Conjugation property)**.** *Let P be a planar diagram with signature $\textsc{Sign}(P) = (n_0; n_1, \ldots, n_m)$, and $\xi \in \mathcal{I}_{2n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_m}$, then $Z_P(\xi^*) = \big(Z_P(\xi)\big)^*$.*

Proof. Let $\xi = I_{(L_1,\sigma_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{(L_m,\sigma_m)}$ be a generator of $\mathcal{I}_{2n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_m}$ and (M, σ) be a decorated multiply cleaved link in $\mathcal{M}(P)$ with $\partial_i(M, \sigma) = (L_i, \sigma_i)$ for $i \geq 0$. Then $(M, \sigma)^*$ has $\mathfrak{P}(M^*) = P$. Thus conjugation is a bijection on $\mathcal{M}(P)$. In addition, $\partial_i(M, \sigma)^* = (L_i, \sigma_i)^*$ for $i \geq 0$. Since $W((M, \sigma)^*) = W(M, \sigma)^*$ in $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$

$$\sum_{\substack{(M,\sigma) \in \mathcal{M}(P) \\ \partial_i(M,\sigma) = (L_i,\sigma_i)^*, i \geq 1}} W(M, \sigma) I_{\partial_0(M,\sigma)} = \sum_{\substack{(M',\sigma') \in \mathcal{M}(P) \\ \partial_i(M',\sigma') = (L_i,\sigma_i), i \geq 1}} W(M', \sigma')^* I_{\partial_0(M',\sigma')^*}$$

An thus, by definition, $Z_P(\xi^*) = \big(Z_P(\xi)\big)^*$. □



FIGURE 4.

2.3. **Non-degeneracy.**

In this section we show that the planar algebra is non-degenerate. In fact, the pairing depicted defined by the diagram in Figure 4 is a sum of hyperbolic pairs.

Definition 21. *Let $(L,\sigma) \in \mathcal{CL}_n$ be subordinate to $(\overleftarrow{D}$. Then the* dual $\overline{(L,\sigma)}$ *of (L,σ) is the cleaved link subordinate to $(\overrightarrow{D})$ obtained by changing the orientation on the sphere, but fixing the ordering of the points $L \cap \partial\overleftarrow{D}$.*

For $L, L' \in \mathcal{CL}_n$, let $\langle L, L' \rangle$ be the image of $L \otimes L'$ under the map $Z_P : \mathcal{I}_{2n} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$ for the diagram P in Figure 4.

Proposition 22 (Non-degeneracy)**.** *Let $L, L' \in \mathcal{CL}_n$, then*

$$\langle L, L' \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & L' \neq \overline{L} \\ 1 & L' = \overline{L} \end{cases}$$

Proof. To see this we compute the partition map for the diagram in Figure 4. The diagrams in $\mathcal{M}(P)$ are obtained by picking two inside matchings $\overleftarrow{m}_1$ and $\overleftarrow{m}_2$ and filling in the discs $\overleftarrow{D}_i$ with them. Then $\partial_1(P \circ_1 \overleftarrow{m}_1 \circ_2 \overleftarrow{m}_2) = \overleftarrow{m}_1 \# \overrightarrow{m}_2$ where $\overrightarrow{m}_2$ is the dual of $\overleftarrow{m}_2$, i.e. the same matching considered in an outside disc. On the other hand, $\partial_2(P \circ_1 \overleftarrow{m}_1 \circ_2 \overleftarrow{m}_2) = \overrightarrow{m}_1 \# \overleftarrow{m}_2$. These boundaries are dual, so only dual cleaved links can have non-zero pairing. To see that these pair to give 1, note that any circle, with any decoration, in the multiply cleaved link necessarily intersects both inside discs. Thus the total weight will be multiples of $q^{1-1/2\cdot 2} = 1$ and $q^{-1+1/2\cdot 2} = 1$. □

2.4. **Composition of the partition maps for planar diagrams.** We now verify that the partition maps compose according to the requirements of a planar algebra.

2.5. **Gluing multiply cleaved links:** First, we will define a gluing $\circ_i$ for multiply cleaved links. Suppose the multiply cleaved link (M,σ) is subordinate to $\mathbb{D} = (\overleftarrow{D}_0; \overleftarrow{D}_1, \ldots, \overleftarrow{D}_m)$ and (N,ν) is subordinate to $\mathbb{D}' = (\overleftarrow{D}'_0; \overleftarrow{D}'_1, \ldots, \overleftarrow{D}'_{m'})$ with $\partial_0(M,\sigma) = \partial_i(N,\nu)$. Then $(N,\nu) \circ_i (M,\sigma)$ is the (equivalence class) of decorated, multiply cleaved links subordinate to $\mathbb{D}' \circ_i \mathbb{D}$ found by removing the disc $\overleftarrow{D}'_i$ from the sphere S_N and gluing in the disc $\overleftarrow{D}_0$ so

that $*_{\overleftarrow{D}_0}$ is glued to $*_{\overleftarrow{D}'_i}$, and $N \cap \partial\overleftarrow{D}'_i$ is identified with $M \cap \overleftarrow{D}_0$, in an order preserving manner. The decoration map for $(N,\nu) \circ_i (M,\sigma)$ is obtained by restriction of ν and σ to the circles intersecting $\overleftarrow{D}_0$ and $\overrightarrow{D}'_i$. Since $\partial_0(M,\sigma) = \partial_i(N,\nu)$ circles which intersect $C = \partial\overleftarrow{D}_0 = \partial\overleftarrow{D}'_i$ receive the same decoration from ν and σ.

It is straightforward that this provides a well-defined map

$$\begin{aligned}\circ_i : \mathcal{M}_R(L'_0; L'_1, \dots, L'_{i-1}, L, L'_{i+1}, \dots, L'_{m'}) \times \mathcal{M}_P(L; L_1, \dots, L_m)\\ \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{R\circ_i P}(L'_0; L'_1, \dots, L'_{i-1}, L_1, \dots, L_m, L'_{i+1}, \dots, L'_{m'})\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 23. *Let P and R be planar diagram with signatures $\textsc{Sign}(P) = (n_0; n_1, \dots, n_m)$ and $\textsc{Sign}(R) = (n'_0; n'_1, \dots, n'_{m'})$, with $n_0 = n'_i$. The partition map $Z_{R\circ_i P}$ equals the map $Z_R \circ_i Z_P$*

Proof. As both maps are linear over $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$ it suffices to check the result on generators

$$\xi = I_{L'_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{L'_{i-1}} \otimes I_{L_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{L_m} \otimes I_{L'_{i+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{L'_{m'}}$$

of the tensor product that is their common domain:

$$\mathcal{I}_{2n'_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n'_{i-1}} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_m} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n'_{i+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n'_{m'}}$$

Thus, we will show that $Z_{R\circ_i P}(\xi)$ equals $\big(Z_R \circ_i Z_P\big)(\xi)$.

$$\big(Z_R \circ_i Z_P\big)(\xi) = Z_R(I_{L'_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{L'_{i-1}} \otimes Z_P(I_{L_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{L_m}) \otimes I_{L'_{i+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{L'_{m'}}) \tag{2}$$

Using linearity and the definitions of Z_R and Z_P, we see that $\big(Z_R \circ_i Z_P\big)(\xi) = \sum_{L' \in \mathcal{CL}_{n'_0}} W_{L'} I_{L'}$ where

$$W_{L'} = \sum_{L \in \mathcal{CL}_{n_0}} \sum_{\substack{\big((N,\nu),(M,\sigma)\big) \in \mathcal{M}(L'; L'_1, \dots, L, \dots, L'_m) \times \\ \mathcal{M}(L; L_1, \dots, L_m)}} W(N,\nu) \cdot W(M,\sigma) \tag{3}$$

However, we will show that

Lemma 24. *The composition $\circ_i$ induces a bijection*

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{CL}_n} \big(\mathcal{M}_R(L'; L'_1, \dots, L'_{i-1}, L, L'_{i+1}, \dots, L'_{m'}) \times \mathcal{M}_P(L; L_1, \dots, L_m)\big)\\ \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{R\circ_i P}(L'; L'_1, \dots, L'_{i-1}, L_1, \dots, L_m, L'_{i+1}, \dots, L'_{m'})\end{aligned}$$

such that $W\big(N \circ_i M\big) = W(N,\nu) \cdot W(M,\sigma)$.

Then the double sum in equation (3) is a sum over the elements of the union in lemma 24, and, assuming the lemma, we conclude that

$$W_{L'} = \sum_{\substack{(D,\eta) \in \\ \mathcal{M}_{R\circ_i P}(L'; L'_1, \dots, L'_{i-1}, L_1, \dots, L_m, L'_{i+1}, \dots, L'_{m'})}} W(D,\eta)$$

which is the coefficient of $I_{L'}$ in $Z_{R\circ_i P}(\xi)$. Thus, $\big(Z_R \circ_i Z_P\big)(\xi) = Z_{R\circ_i P}(\xi)$.

We now prove the lemma.

Proof of lemma 24. We start by verifying that $\circ_i$ is weight preserving. Suppose $(M,\sigma) \in \mathcal{M}_P(L_0; L_1, \dots, L_m)$ and $(N,\nu) \in \mathcal{M}_R(L_0'; L_1', \dots, L_{m'}')$, with $L_0 = L_i'$. Then

$$W(N \circ_i M) = W(N,\nu) \cdot W(M,\sigma)$$

in $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$. Circles in $\textsc{Circles}(N \circ_i M)$ either intersect $C = \partial \overleftarrow{D}_0$ or they do not. It is straightforward from the definition of $W(N \circ_i M)$ that circles not intersecting C contribute the same factor $\pm q^s$ to $W(N \circ_i M)$ as they do to $W(M,\sigma) \cdot W(N,\nu)$. Now let K be a circle which intersects C. Suppose K intersects $\overleftarrow{A}$ discs of $R \circ_i T$ in $\overleftarrow{D}_0$ and $\overrightarrow{A}$ discs of $R \circ_i T$ in $\overrightarrow{D}_i'$. The corresponding circle in (M,σ) intersects $\overleftarrow{A}+1$ discs: the same $\overleftarrow{A}$ discs in $\overleftarrow{D}_0$ and the disc $\overrightarrow{D}_0$. while the corresponding circle in (N,ν) intersects $\overrightarrow{A}+1$ discs. Thus, C contributes $q^{\pm(1-\frac{1}{2}(\overleftarrow{A}+\overrightarrow{A}))}$ to $W(N \circ_i M)$, while the corresponding circles contribute $q^{\pm(1-\frac{1}{2}(\overleftarrow{A}+1))}$ to $W(M,\sigma)$ and $q^{\pm(1-\frac{1}{2}(\overrightarrow{A}+1))}$ to $W(N,\nu)$. Since

$$q^{\pm(1-\frac{1}{2}(\overleftarrow{A}+\overrightarrow{A}))} = q^{\pm(1-\frac{1}{2}(\overleftarrow{A}+1))} q^{\pm(1-\frac{1}{2}(\overrightarrow{A}+1))}$$

every circle in $N \circ M$ contributes the same factor in $W(N \circ_i M)$ as in $W(N,\nu) \cdot W(M,\sigma)$, so $W(N \circ_i M) = W(N,\nu) \cdot W(M,\sigma)$.

Next we show that the map Ψ induced by $\circ_i$ is a bijection by finding an inverse

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi : \mathcal{M}_{R\circ_i P}(L_0'; L_1', \dots, L_{i-1}', L_1, \dots, L_m, L_{i+1}', \dots, L_{m'}') \\ \longrightarrow \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{CL}_n} \big(\mathcal{M}_R(L_0'; L_1', \dots, L_{i-1}', L, L_{i+1}', \dots, L_{m'}') \times \mathcal{M}_P(L; L_1, \dots, L_m)\big)\end{aligned}$$

Let $(D,\eta) \in \mathcal{M}(R \circ_i P)(L_0'; L_1', \dots, L_{i-1}', L_1, \dots, L_m, L_{i+1}', \dots, L_{m'}')$ subordinate to $\mathbb{D}' \circ_i \mathbb{D}$ where $\mathbb{D}' = (\overleftarrow{D}_0; \overleftarrow{D}_1', \dots, \overleftarrow{B}, \dots, \overleftarrow{D}_{m'}')$ and $\mathbb{D} = (\overleftarrow{B}; \overleftarrow{D}_1, \dots, \overleftarrow{D}_m)$. Let $C = \partial \overleftarrow{B}$ and $*_C$ be the marked point from $\mathbb{D}$ (which is identical to that from $\mathbb{D}'$). Define (L,η) to be the cleaved link with equator C, marked point $*_C$, circles consisting of $\{U \in \textsc{Circles}(N \circ_i M) | U \cap C \neq \emptyset\}$, equipped with the decoration map found from restriction from η.

Define a multiply cleaved link (M,σ) in S by taking $\textsc{Circles}(M) = \{U \in \textsc{Circles}(D) | U \cap \overleftarrow{B} \neq \emptyset\}$ and the decoration σ found by restricting η to the subset $\textsc{Circles}(M) \subset \textsc{Circles}(D)$. This defines a multiply cleaved link which, given the identification of $\overleftarrow{B}$ with $\overleftarrow{D}_0$, is a decorated, multiply cleaved link in $\mathcal{M}(P)$. It is straightforward to verify that $L = \partial_0(M,\sigma)$ and $L_i = \partial_i(M,\sigma)$. Thus, $(M,\sigma) \in \mathcal{M}_P(L; L_1, \dots, L_m)$. Similarly, the subset of $\textsc{Circles}(D)$ defined by $\{U \in \textsc{Circles}(D) | U \cap \overrightarrow{B} \neq \emptyset\}$, with the restricted decorated. defines a multiply cleaved link N in $\mathcal{M}_R(L_0'; L_1', \dots, L, \dots L_{m'}')$. Therefore, $\big((N,\nu),(M,\sigma)\big)$ is an element of

$$\big(\mathcal{M}_R(L_0'; L_1', \dots, L_{i-1}', L, L_{i+1}', \dots, L_{m'}') \times \mathcal{M}_P(L; L_1, \dots, L_m)\big)$$

If we define $\Phi(D,\eta) = \big((N,\nu),(M,\sigma)\big)$ we have a well-defined map as in the statement of the lemma. That Φ is an inverse of the map Ψ follows from the definitions. □

□

3. Invariants for oriented tangles

An oriented tangle diagrams T is subordinate to a disc configuration $\mathbb{D}$ if it is drawn in $\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}}$ and intersects each boundary component in an even number of points. Each tangle diagram defines an oriented tangle in $\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}} \times [-1,1]$ up to isotopies which fix the points in $\partial\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}} \times \{0\}$, and any diagrams T_1 and T_2 are related by sequences of Reidemeister moves performed in $\operatorname{int}\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}}$ if and only if they define isotopic tangles. We will denote the number of positive/negative crossings in T (with reference to the orientation on $\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}}$) by $n_{\pm}(T)$.

We can construct a partition map Z_T for a tangle diagram using the state sum approach to the Kauffman bracket. In particular Z_T is a linear combination of the maps for the resolution diagrams of T.

Definition 25. *A resolution ρ of T is a map $\rho : \textsc{cr}(T) \longrightarrow \{0,1\}$. For each resolution, ρ, there is a planar diagram, $\rho(T)$, called a resolution diagram, obtained by locally replacing (disjoint) neighborhoods of the crossings of T using the following rule for each crossing $c \in \textsc{cr}(T)$:*

The set of resolutions will be denoted $\textsc{res}(T)$. *The (unshifted) homological dimension of $\rho \in \textsc{res}(T)$ is*

$$h(\rho) = \sum_{c \in \textsc{cr}(T)} \rho(c)$$

We then define Z_T by using the partition maps for the resolutions:

Definition 26. *Let T be an oriented tangle diagram subordinate to a disc configuration $\mathbb{D}$ with signature* $\textsc{Sign}(T) = (n_0; n_1, \ldots, n_m)$. *The partition map*

$$Z_T : \mathcal{I}_{2n_1} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{I}_{2n_m} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{2n_0}$$

is defined to be the map

$$Z_T = (-1)^{n_-(T)} q^{(n_+ - 2n_-)(T)} \widetilde{Z}_T \tag{4}$$

where the unshifted partition map $\widetilde{Z}_T$ is defined by the state sum

$$\widetilde{Z}_T = \sum_{\rho \in \textsc{res}(T)} (-q)^{h(\rho)} Z_{\rho(T)}$$

Since Z_P satisfies the Temperley-Lieb property (1) standard linear algebra shows that Z_T does as well. Composition of tangle diagrams is defined identically to that for planar diagrams with the added requirement that when T and R are oriented tangle diagrams, $R \circ_i T$ has an orientation which restricts to the original orientations on T and R. Standard linear algebra and bookkeeping of resolutions/crossing numbers then implies

Proposition 27. *Let T and R be oriented tangles subordinate to $\mathbb{D}_T$ and $\mathbb{D}_R$ such that $R \circ_i T$ is defined as an oriented tangle. Then*

$$Z_{R\circ_i T} = Z_R \circ_i Z_T$$

Similarly the conjugation property $Z_P(\xi^*) = Z_P(\xi)^*$ for planar diagrams, along with resolution/crossing number considerations, implies

Proposition 28. *Suppose T is a tangle diagram subordinate to $\mathbb{D}$, and let T^* be the mirror tangle diagram subordinate to $\mathbb{D}$. Then $Z_{T^*}(\xi^*) = \big(Z_T(\xi)\big)^*$*

In addition, that unshifted partition maps $\widetilde{Z}_T$, while *not* isotopy invariants of the tangle, do satisfy a skein relation:

Proposition 29 (Unshifted skein relations)**.** *Let T be an unoriented tangle diagram. Suppose $c \in \mathrm{CR}(T)$, and let T_0 and T_1 be the tangle diagrams obtained from performing the 0 and 1 resolutions locally at c. Then*

$$\widetilde{Z}_T = \widetilde{Z}_{T_0} - q \cdot \widetilde{Z}_{T_1}$$

Proof sketch. Group the terms in the summation in Definition 26 into a summand over resolutions with with $\rho(c) = 0$ and one with resolutions with $\rho(c) = 1$. The former summand equals $\widetilde{Z}_{T_0}$ while the second summand is $-q$ times $\widetilde{Z}_{T_1}$. □

Using this skein relation, the Temperley-Lieb, and the composition properties for Z_T, it then follows that Z_T is an isotopy invariant of the oriented tangles:

Theorem 30. *If T_1 and T_2 are tangle diagrams subordinate to a disc configuration $\mathbb{D}$, and which differ by a Reidemeister move in a disc in the interior of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}}$, then $Z_{T_1} = Z_{T_2}$.*

To prove Theorem 30, we use the composition property to restrict to the disc where the Reidemeister move occurs and perform a local calculation. Since $\widetilde{Z}_T$ satisfies a skein relation and Z_U is multiplication by $q + q^{-1}$, the usual diagrammatic arguments for invariance of the Jones polynomial apply.

Furthermore, the partition maps Z_T generalize Khovanov's version of the Jones polynomial, [4]. Let L be an oriented link diagram. Khovanov's version of the Jones polynomial is defined by

$$J_L(q) = (-1)^{n_-(L)} q^{(n_+ - 2n_-)(L)} \widetilde{J}_L(q) \tag{5}$$

where $\widetilde{J}_L(q)$ satisfies the following (unoriented) skein relations

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{J}_U(q) &= q + q^{-1} \\ \widetilde{J}_{L\sqcup U}(q) &= (q + q^{-1})\widetilde{J}_L \\ \widetilde{J}_L &= \widetilde{J}_{L_0} - q\widetilde{J}_{L_1} \end{aligned}$$

where U denotes an unknot, $L \sqcup U$ is a link with an unlinked and unknotted component U, and L_0 and L_1 are the 0 and 1 resolutions at a crossing of L (see definition 25). This version of the Jones polynomial arises as the *decategorification* of Khovanov homology, [4].

Theorem 31 (Normalization Property)**.** *If T has signature $(0; 0)$ then T comes from a link diagram L, and $Z_T : \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$ is multiplication by $J_L(q)$.*

This follows from the Temperley-Lieb property of the partition maps for planar diagrams. Given T with signature $(0;0)$, any resolution diagram $\rho(T)$ consists of closed circles embedded in $\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}}$. Each map $Z_{\rho(T)}$ is just multiplication by $(q+q^{-1})^{n_C(\rho)}$ where $n_C(\rho)$ is the number of circles. Substituting into equation (4) shows that Z_T is multiplication by

$$(-1)^{n_-(T)}q^{(n_+-2n_-)(T)}\sum_{\rho\in \mathrm{RES}(T)}(-q)^{h(\rho)}(q+q^{-1})^{n_C(\rho)}$$

which is the state sum representation of $J_T(q)$.

4. Examples

4.1. **Computing Z_P for a simple planar diagram.**

Suppose we have a planar diagram P as on the left of



For this planar diagram the map Z_P should be a map $\mathcal{I}_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2},q^{-1/2}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_4$.

There are two outside matchings $\overrightarrow{m}_1$ and $\overrightarrow{m}_2$ which can be used in $\overrightarrow{D}_0$ to construct the multiply, cleaved links with associated tangle P. These are shown on the right. Then the first diagram on the right, decorated with a $+$, contributes $q^{1/2}I_{A_+}$ to the image of $1\in\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$, since $W=q^{1-1/2}$, while A_- contributes $q^{-1+1/2}I_{A_-}=q^{-1/2}I_{A_-}$. If we use $\overrightarrow{m}_2$, and decorate the resulting circles, we obtain the generators $B_{\pm\pm}$ from Figure 2. B_{++} contributes $q^{1-1/2}q^{1-1/2}I_{B_{++}}=q\,I_{B_{++}}$, while B_{+-} contributes $q^{1-1/2}q^{-1+1/2}I_{B_{+-}}=I_{B_{+-}}$. Likewise, B_{-+} contributes $q^{-1+1/2}q^{1-1/2}I_{B_{-+}}=I_{B_{+-}}$ and B_{--} contributes $q^{-1+1/2}q^{-1+1/2}I_{B_{--}}=q^{-1}I_{B_{--}}$. Thus the map Z_P is the linear extension of

$$1\longrightarrow q^{1/2}I_{A_+}+q^{-1/2}I_{A_-}+q\,I_{B_{++}}+I_{B_{+-}}+I_{B_{+-}}+q^{-1}I_{B_{--}}$$

Now suppose we move $*$ to sit between p_1 and p_2, then, according to our convention, we must renumber the points as $\{q_1,q_2,q_3,q_4\}$ where $q_i=p_{i+1}$. Then P' will be the matching $q_1\leftrightarrow q_2$ and $q_3\leftrightarrow q_4$, while $\overrightarrow{m}_1$ becomes $q_1\leftrightarrow q_4$ and $q_2\leftrightarrow q_3$. Using $\overrightarrow{m}_1$ now gives generators of type $D_\pm$ while using $\overrightarrow{m}_2$ gives a generator of type $C_{\pm\pm}$. Indeed, $Z_{P'}$ with the new choice of marked point is the map determined by

$$1\longrightarrow q^{1/2}I_{D_+}+q^{-1/2}I_{D_-}+q\,I_{C_{++}}+I_{C_{+-}}+I_{C_{+-}}+q^{-1}I_{C_{--}}$$

4.2. **Representation of the braid group.** Let B_{2n} be the braid group on $2n$-strands. Each diagram D_σ for $\sigma \in B_{2n}$ can be thought of as an oriented tangle diagram subordinate to two discs. If we think of the diagram D_σ as being in $[0,1]\times[0,1]$, with $2n$ points on $[0,1]\times\{0\}$ and $[0,1]\times\{1\}$, we can glue $(0,x)$ to $(1,x)$ to obtain a diagram in an annulus. We glue two discs into the annulus to obtain a sphere. The points on $[0,1]\times\{0\}$ are labeled $p_1,\dots,p_{2n}$ in the increasing x direction, and likewise for the points on $[0,1]\times\{1\}$. Let D_0 be the disc glued to the image $[0,1]\times\{0\}$, and D_1 be the disc glued to the image of $[0,1]\times\{1\}$. Then the orderings are correct for a tangle subordinate to $(D_0;D_1)$. We will thus view braids as going down the page. For $*_{D_0}$ we use the image of $(0,0)\sim(1,0)$, while for $*_{D_1}$ we use the image of $(0,1)\sim(1,1)$.

This construction allows us to associate a map $Z_\sigma : \mathcal{I}_{2n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{2n}$ to any braid diagram σ. Furthermore, if σ and σ' are braids in B_{2n} then $\sigma' \circ_1 \sigma$ is the braid found by stacking σ on top of σ' (i.e. identifying $[0,1]\times\{0\}$ for σ with $[0,1]\times\{1\}$ for σ' using $(x,0)\sim(x,1)'$). The composition formula shows that $Z_{\sigma'\sigma} = Z_{\sigma'}Z_\sigma$ and thus the maps Z_σ are a representation of B_{2n}. Furthermore, the non-degeneracy property implies that $Z_e = \mathrm{Id}$ where e is the trivial braid.

We compute the representation of B_2. Let $\sigma \in B_2$ correspond the the braid diagram with a single right-handed crossing, and both strands oriented down the page. Then $n_-(\sigma) = 0$ and $n_+(\sigma) = 1$, so $Z_\sigma = (-1)^0 q^{1-2\cdot 0}\widetilde{Z}_\sigma$. We can use the skein relation to expand $\widetilde{Z}_\sigma = \widetilde{Z}_I - q\widetilde{Z}_{T'}$ where T' is the tangle consisting of a cup and a cap, see Figure 5. The latter map can be found from composing the map $\mathcal{I}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2},q^{-1/2}]$ for a single cup, with the map for a single cap, which is dual to the former map. These both occur in the annulus, but as one of the circles does not intersect either the cup or cap, that circle can be erased. Thus,

$$Z_{T'} : \begin{cases} I_+ \xrightarrow{\text{cup}} q^{1/2} \xrightarrow{\text{cap}} q^{1/2}\big(q^{1/2}I_+ + q^{-1/2}I_-\big) = qI_+ + I_- \\ I_- \xrightarrow{\text{cup}} q^{-1/2} \xrightarrow{\text{cap}} q^{-1/2}\big(q^{1/2}I_+ + q^{-1/2}I_-\big) = I_+ + q^{-1}I_- \end{cases}$$

Converting to matrix notation in the basis $\{I_+, I_-\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Z}_\sigma &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - q\begin{bmatrix} q & 1 \\ 1 & q^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1-q^2 & -q \\ -q & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$Z_\sigma = q\begin{bmatrix} 1-q^2 & -q \\ -q & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q-q^3 & -q^2 \\ -q^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can use this computation to calculate $Z_{\sigma^{-1}}$. Since σ^{-1} is represented by the mirror diagram subordinate to the same disc configuration, we have $Z_{\sigma^{-1}}(\xi) = \big(Z_\sigma(\xi^*)\big)^*$ Thus,

$$Z_{\sigma^{-1}}(I_+) = \big(Z_\sigma(I_-)\big)^* = \big(-q^2I_+)^* = -q^{-2}I_-$$

while

$$Z_{\sigma^{-1}}(I_-) = \big(Z_\sigma(I_+)\big)^* = \big((q-q^3)I_+ - q^2I_-)^* = (q^{-1}-q^{-3})I_- - q^{-2}I_+$$



FIGURE 5.

In matrix notation, this yields

$$Z_{\sigma^{-1}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -q^{-2} \\ -q^{-2} & q^{-1} - q^{-3} \end{bmatrix}$$

It can then be checked directly that $Z_\sigma Z_{\sigma^-} = \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{Z}_{\sigma^{-1}} Z_\sigma$, as required by the Markov moves on braid diagrams.

The informed reader will recognize Z_σ and $Z_{\sigma^{-1}}$ as the representation derived from the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant from $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. However, this cannot persist for $n > 2$ since the Reshetikin-Turaev invariant will give a map between modules with dimension 2^n over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, which is not the dimension of $\mathcal{I}_n$. For instance, $\mathcal{I}_4$ has dimension 12.

Note: Above we computed the partition map $\mathcal{I}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_2$ for the planar tangle in Figure 5. In matrix form, this map is

$$\begin{bmatrix} q & 1 \\ 1 & q^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \tag{6}$$

If we stack two copies of this tangle, we obtain a tangle with a circle. If we delete this circle we obtain another copy of the tangle in 5. We illustrate the Temperley-Lieb property by computing the square of the matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} q & 1 \\ 1 & q^{-1} \end{bmatrix}^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} q & 1 \\ 1 & q^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q & 1 \\ 1 & q^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} q^2+1 & q+q^{-1} \\ q+q^{-1} & 1+q^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (q+q^{-1}) \begin{bmatrix} q & 1 \\ 1 & q^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

5. Relationship to other theories

5.1. **To bordered Khovanov homology.** In [8], [9] the author, inspired by bordered Floer homology, describes a bordered Khovanov homology for tangles in a disc. The formal algebraic structure of these tangle invariants mimics the formal structure of Ozsváth,

Lipshitz, and Thurston's description of bordered Floer homology, [6]. In particular, the construction in [9] takes a tangle diagram T in a disc D, with a marked point $* \in \partial D$:



and associates to it a bigraded differential module $\langle\!\langle T \rangle\!\rangle$ over the differential bigraded algebra $\mathcal{B}\Gamma_n$, where T has $2n$ endpoints on ∂D. In [10] the author describes the decategorification of $\langle\!\langle T \rangle\!\rangle$ as a map $[\![\langle\!\langle P \rangle\!\rangle]\!] : \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{2n_P}$ associated to an *inside* tangle, i.e. one with signature (n_0). Like the Jones polynomial, this decategorification arises as a sum over all the complete resolutions of the diagram. In this paper, we have also assigned a map $Z_T : \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{2n_0}$ to this tangle. Similarly, let T be a tangle diagram with signature $(0; n_1)$, i.e. an *outside* tangle. In [10] the decategorification of $[\![T]\!]$ is shown to be a map $[\![[\![T]\!]]\!] : \mathcal{I}_{2n_P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$ while in this paper we have assigned this diagram a map $Z_T : \mathcal{I}_{2n_P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$. These maps are equal:

Proposition 32. *Let T be an inside tangle (as in [10]). Then the map $[\![\langle\!\langle T \rangle\!\rangle]\!]$ equals the partition map Z_T, computed from the disc configuration $(\overleftarrow{D})$. If T' is an outside tangle, then $[\![[\![T']\!]]\!]$ equals the partition map $Z_{T'}$, computed using the disc configuration $(\overleftarrow{D}_0; \overleftarrow{D})$, where $\overleftarrow{D}_0$ is any disk in $\overrightarrow{D}$ separated from T'.*

For tangles T_1 and T_2 which glue to form a link L, the composition of the maps $[\![\langle\!\langle T_1 \rangle\!\rangle]\!]$ and $[\![[\![T_2]\!]]\!]$ is multiplication by $J_L(q)$, [10]. The analog for the partition maps is proposition 31. Thus, the maps in this paper are a generalization of Khovanov's version of the Jones polynomial to tangles over planar surfaces, and their planar algebra structure.

Proving this result is a matter of translating between the conventions of this paper with those of [10]

5.2. **Comparison with the Temperley-Lieb planar algebra:** Let R be a ring and $\delta \in R$. The Temperley-Lieb planar algebra $TL_R(\delta)$, [3], is the planar algebra where TL_{2n} is the R-module spanned by the planar matchings in a disc with signature (n). Let P be a planar diagram and $m_1, \ldots, m_k$ be planar matchings in discs with signatures $(n_1), \ldots, (n_k)$. If we glue each m_i into the i^{th} boundary of P, while aligning marked points, we obtain a planar diagram with $n_C \geq 0$ circles. Let m_0 be the matching resulting from deleting the circles. We then set

$$Z_P(m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_k) = (q + q^{-1})^{n_C} m_0$$

and define the partition map $Z_P : TL_{2n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes TL_{2n_k} \longrightarrow TL_{2n_0}$ to be the linear extension to the tensor product.

If we let $R = \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$ and $\delta = q + q^{-1}$ we obtain a Temperley-Lieb planar algebra with the same properties as the one defined in this paper. However, these are not

identical: the dimension of TL_2 is 1 since there is only one planar matching on 2 points, whereas the dimension of $\mathcal{I}_2$ is 2. Likewise, the dimension of TL_4 is 2, whereas the dimension of $\mathcal{I}_4$ is 12, see Figure 2. This difference of dimension occurs for each $n \geq 1$.

There is a relationship between the two, however. According to Jones, [3], "each vector space V_{2n} in a unital planar algebra will contain a quotient of the vector space of linear combinations of TL diagrams. " In our setting, this relationship comes from the observation that for each planar matching m in a disc of type (n), there is an element $Z_m(1) \in \mathcal{I}_{2n}$ since m is a planar diagram. Since the planar algebra satisfies the Temperley-Lieb property the image of these elements is closed under the planar algebra compositions, and one obtains a map from TL_{2n} to $\mathcal{I}_{2n}$ which commutes with the planar algebra operations.

Likewise, any unital planar algebra provides a representation of the traditional Temperley-Lieb algebra (not planar algebra) of planar $(2n, 2n)$-tangles in a square. We consider the map $TL_2 \longrightarrow TL_2$ for the diagram in figure 5 representing the sole non-trivial generator of the Temperley-Lieb algebra in this case, and the corresponding map for $\mathcal{I}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_2$. For TL_2 this is the map $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$ found by multiplying by $q + q^{-1}$. In equation 6 in the previous section, we computed the map $\mathcal{I}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_2$ for the generator of the Temperley-Lieb algebra as a matrix M. To understand M, we first solve the eigenvalue equation $M(v) = \lambda(q)v$. It has two sets of solutions: (1) when $\lambda(q) = q + q^{-1}$ and v is in the submodule generated by $(q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2})$, and (2) when $\lambda(q) = 0$ and v is in the submodule generated by $(-q^{-1/2}, q^{1/2})$. Since $Z_m(1) = (q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2})$ for the only planar matching on 2 points, the span of $(q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2})$ is the image of TL_2. Since $M^2 = (q + q^{-1})M$ we know that $\operatorname{im} M$ also lies in the image of TL_2. Furthermore, we have seen that $\ker M$ is spanned by $(-q^{-1/2}, q^{1/2})$. However, the kernel and the image of M do not span $\mathcal{I}_2$ (the matrix with the generating vectors as columns has determinant $q + q^{-1}$ and is thus not invertible). The quotient of $\mathcal{I}_2$ by the span is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]/(q^2 + 1)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$-module. Consequently, the representation on $\mathcal{I}_2$ has the image of TL_2 as an invariant submodule, but the image of TL_2 is not a direct summand of this representation.

Furthermore we can identify the image of TL_4 in $\mathcal{I}_4$. If we use the basis

$$\{I_{C_{++}}, I_{C_{-+}}, I_{C_{+-}}, I_{C_{--}}, I_{D_+}, I_{D_-}, I_{A_+}, I_{A_-}, I_{B_{++}}, I_{B_{+-}}, I_{B_{-+}}, I_{B_{--}}\} \tag{7}$$

for $\mathcal{I}_4$ we can compute the matrices corresponding to the linear maps for the three generators of the Temperley-Lieb algebra on 4 points. These matrices will be denoted M_1, M_2, and M_3 as in Figure 5.3. We can show that the resulting representation is not TL_4 stabilized by any number of trivial representations by examining the vectors in the intersections of the kernels of these three matrices. Since $\mathcal{I}_4$ is 12 dimensional, and TL_4 is 2 dimensional observing a smaller than $12-2 = 10$ dimensional null space for the representation disproves that it is formed by stabilization. The kernels of these three matrices are

$$\begin{aligned}\ker M_1 = \operatorname{Span}\{&I_{C_{++}} - q^{1/2} I_{A_+}, I_{C_{+-}} - q^{-1/2} I_{A_+}, I_{C_{-+}} - q^{1/2} I_{A_-}, I_{C_{--}} - q^{-1/2} I_{A_-}, \\ &I_{D_+}, I_{D_-}, I_{B_{++}}, I_{B_{+-}}, I_{B_{-+}}, I_{B_{--}}\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\ker M_2 = \mathrm{Span}\big\{ I_{C_{++}}, I_{C_{-+}}, I_{C_{+-}}, I_{C_{--}}, I_{A_+}, I_{A_-}, I_{B_{++}} - q^{1/2} I_{D_+}, I_{B_{+-}} - q^{-1/2} I_{D_+}, I_{B_{-+}} - q^{1/2} I_{D_-}, I_{B_{--}} - q^{-1/2} I_{D_-} \big\}$$

$$\ker M_3 = \mathrm{Span}\big\{ I_{C_{++}} - q^{1/2} I_{A_+}, I_{C_{-+}} - q^{-1/2} I_{A_+}, I_{C_{+-}} - q^{1/2} I_{A_-}, I_{C_{--}} - q^{-1/2} I_{A_-}, I_{D_+}, I_{D_-}, I_{B_{++}}, I_{B_{+-}}, I_{B_{-+}}, I_{B_{--}} \big\}$$

Notice that $\ker M_1$ and $\ker M_3$ are not the same submodules: there is a change in the subscripts. The intersection of these three submodules is seven dimensional:

$$\ker M_1 \cap \ker M_2 \cap \ker M_3 = \mathrm{Span}\big\{ I_{B_{++}} - q^{1/2} I_{D_+}, I_{B_{+-}} - q^{-1/2} I_{D_+}, I_{B_{-+}} - q^{1/2} I_{D_-}, I_{B_{--}} - q^{-1/2} I_{D_-}, I_{C_{++}} - q^{1/2} I_{A_+}, I_{C_{--}} - q^{-1/2} I_{A_-}, I_{C_{-+}} + I_{C_{+-}} - q^{-1/2} I_{A_+} - q^{1/2} I_{A_-} \big\}$$

We can conclude that $\mathcal{I}_4$ is not the direct sum, as a representation, of TL_4 and trivial representations of the Temperley-Lieb algebra on four points.

5.3. **To Khovanov's functor invariant for tangles:** In [5], M. Khovanov describes an approach to invariants for traditional $(2n, 2m)$-tangles with diagrams in a square and the decategorification of the modules used in his theory. The relationship between the tangle homology in [5] and that in [8] and [9] is the subject of recent work by Andy Manion, [7]. He shows that the relationship is novel and quite complicated. We can see a reflection of that observation here. Khovanov's constructions can be modified to give a planar algebra type structure. Furthermore, in a remark on pg. 714 Khovanov described how to turn the decategorification of his tangle homology into linear maps similar to those examined in this paper. However, in section 5 of [5] he shows that a basis for the decategorification of the modules for tangles in a disc is in one-to-one correspondence with the planar matchings of the tangles boundary points. As a result, the dimensions of the underlying vector spaces will be different from those in this paper, and thus, the maps will not be identical.

References

[1] D. Bar-Natan, *On Khovanov's categorification of the Jones polynomial.* Algebr. Geom. Topol. 2:337–370 (2002).

[2] V. Jones, *Planar algebras I*

[3] V. Jones, *Quadratic tangles in planar algebras.* arXiv:1007.1158v2

[4] M. Khovanov, *A categorification of the Jones polynomial.* Duke Math. J. 101(3):359–426 (2000).

[5] M. Khovanov, *A functor-valued invariant of tangles.* Algebr. Geom. Topol. 2:665–741 (2002).

[6] R. Lipshitz, P. S. Ozsváth, & D. P. Thurston. *Bordered Heegaard Floer homology: Invariance and pairing.* arXiv:0810.0687

[7] A. Manion, *On bordered theories for Khovanov homology.* arXiv:1509.07174

[8] L. Roberts, *A type D structure in Khovanov homology.* arXiv:1304.0463

[9] L. Roberts, *A type A structure in Khovanov homology.* arXiv:1304.0465

[10] L. Roberts, *The decategorification of bordered Khovanov homology.*



$$\begin{bmatrix}
q & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & q & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
q^{1/2} & 0 & q^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & q^{1/2} & 0 & q^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}$$



$$\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{1/2} & q^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{1/2} & q^{-1/2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & q & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & q^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & q^{-1}
\end{bmatrix}$$



$$\begin{bmatrix}
q & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & q & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
q^{1/2} & q^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & q^{1/2} & q^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}$$

FIGURE 6. Matrices M_1, M_2, and M_3 (vertically from top) for the mappings $\mathcal{I}_4 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_4$ corresponding to the Temperley-Lieb generators shown to the left of each matrix